UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,765	04/28/2006	Hiromu Izumida	052363-0033	6932
	7590 04/20/200 WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR		ZHU, WEIPING		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/577,765	IZUMIDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
WEIPING ZHU	1793	

	WEIPING ZHU	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence add	Iress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affic al (with appeal fee) in compliar	lavit, or other evidence, vice with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN).	ailing date of the final rejection THE FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amo hortened statutory period for reply o	unt of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the second se	sideration and/or search (see f	NOTE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally	rejected claims.				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	·					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		will be entered and an e	xpianation of			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•				
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons as stated in the final rejection.						
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☒ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_				
/George Wyszomierski/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793	/Weiping Zhu/ Examiner, Art Unit 17	93				

The following responses are to applicant's new arguments incurred from the final Office action dated January 2nd, 2009.

The applicant argues that the steel wire of Izumida et al. (US Pub. 2004/0099354 A1) is produced by a process in which a reduction rate in area of 70% or less is completely different from that of 70% or more in the process of the instant application; therefore the steel wire of Izumida et al. ('354 A1) does not have the claimed textures and properties. In response, the examiner's position has been stated clearly in the paragraph 4 in response to applicant's similar argument in the final Office action dated January 2nd, 2009. The ground of rejection as stated in the Office action is proper and therefore maintained. Furthermore, it is noted that the highest reduction rate in area as disclosed by Izumida et al. ('354 A1) is the same as the claimed lowest reduction rate in area. One of ordinary skill in the art would expect the same results in terms of textures and properties in the steel wires of Izumida et al. ('354 A1) and the instant ivention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weiping Zhu whose telephone number is 571-272-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-16:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.